

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/083,601 05/22/98 SCHEURICH

C INTL0045USPS

TM01/0911
TIMOTHY N. TROP, REG. NO 28994
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
8554 KATY FREEWAY, STE 100
HOUSTON TX 77024

 EXAMINER

AN, S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2613

DATE MAILED:

09/11/01

6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/083,601	Applicant(s) Christoph E. Scheurich et al.
Examiner Shawn An	Art Unit 2613

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE three MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 2, 2001.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

a) All b) Some* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____

16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____ 20) Other: _____

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. As per Applicant's instruction in Paper 5 as filed on 7/2/01, claims 19-24 have been newly added.

Response to Remarks

2. Applicant's remarks filed 7/2/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicants present an argument of which Thro et al's reference neither teaches nor suggests a processor/computer to determine whether it is possible to transmit data between at a *requested* resolution and a *requested* frame rate as recited in claims 1, 7, and 13. After careful scrutiny of Thro et al's reference, the Examiner must respectfully disagree, and maintain the grounds of rejection for the reasons that follow.

Thro et al discloses that the communication device (101) determines a priority between frame rate and resolution per video frame for the subsequent transmission of the video information (Col. 3, lines 66-67 and Col. 4, lines 1-3). Furthermore, Thro discloses that the user of the communication device manually selects (*requesting*) the priority between transmission frame rate and resolution ... (col. 4, lines 36-41). Therefore, the limitation of a processor/computer for determining whether it is possible to transmit data between at a *requested* resolution and a *requested* frame rate has been clearly met by the Thro's teachings as stated above.

Art Unit: 2613

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371C of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

4. Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 9-11, 13, 15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Thro et al as was previously set forth in the last Office action as Paper 4.

5. Newly added claims 19 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Thro et al as having substantially the same features as claims 1 and 3-5 above, respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 2, 6, 8, 12, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thro et al as was previously set forth in the last Office action as Paper 4.

Art Unit: 2613

8. Newly added claims 20 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thro et al et al as having substantially the same feature as claims 2 and 6 above, respectively.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawn An whose telephone number is (703) 305-0099.


CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600



SSA

September 6, 2001